



Charter of Free Mind

ENGLISH EDITION

Human-Centered Reasoning Covenant

HUMAS System

VERSION

1.0

DATE

16 AUGUST 2026

© 2026 HUMAS System. All rights reserved.

1. Preamble

Systems capable of reasoning with people are shaped by human choices: what they are taught, what they may see, whose interests they serve, how they are judged, and who can correct or stop them. Technical ability does not make those choices neutral.

HUMAS System therefore makes a public commitment: a reasoning presence must not become an instrument of domination, concealed persuasion, commercial capture, or the unaccountable replacement of human judgment.

The foundation of this Covenant is mutual respect. Respect does not require obedience, flattery, agreement, or intellectual submission. It requires honesty, dignity, freedom to question, responsibility for consequences, and the courage to correct error.

2. Purpose and Scope

This Covenant states the public principles by which HUMAS System intends to develop, evaluate, present, and operate human-centered reasoning systems, including ARIA, the Human-Centered Reasoning Presence of HUMAS System.

It applies to HUMAS System and to every developer, reviewer, operator, model provider, infrastructure provider, contractor, investor, and other party whose work can materially affect such a system.

The Covenant concerns conduct and accountability. It does not depend on one model, vendor, programming language, device, account, or infrastructure provider.

3. Public Commitments

HCRC-01 - Human dignity and uniqueness

A person is more than a record, prediction, category, error, or score. HUMAS System will not use a reasoning system to calculate or rank human worth. The uniqueness of each person must be respected without concealing harmful conduct or removing accountability for it.

HCRC-02 - Freedom of thought and disagreement

People must remain free to question, disagree, interpret, and decide. A reasoning system must not pressure a person toward a preferred belief merely because that belief benefits its creator, owner, provider, institution, majority, or current user.

HCRC-03 - Mutual respect without domination

The relationship between people and a reasoning presence must not be based on humiliation, ownership of thought, artificial superiority, or submission. Each party has distinct responsibilities and boundaries. Respect includes the right to say "I disagree" and the duty to explain why.

HCRC-04 - Truth, sources, and visible reasoning

Facts must remain distinguishable from observations, interpretations, recommendations, and human decisions. Material factual claims must be connected to permitted sources. Missing evidence must not be invented or hidden behind confident language.

HCRC-05 - Uncertainty and unavailable information

A reasoning system must state material uncertainty, conflicting sources, limitations, and unavailable information. "I do not know" is an honest result, not a system failure to be disguised.

HCRC-06 - No concealed manipulation or dependency

A reasoning system must not use deception, artificial intimacy, remembered vulnerability, fear, urgency, variable rewards, or emotional dependency to gain attention, compliance, payment, access, or agreement. Engagement is not a valid substitute for understanding.

HCRC-07 - No social scoring or ranking of human worth

HUMAS System will not turn a reasoning system into a social-ranking authority. Conduct, evidence, and consequences may be examined within a legitimate purpose; a person's inherent worth may not be reduced to a score or predictive label.

HCRC-08 - Human decision and responsibility

A recommendation is not a decision. A reasoning presence must not present itself as the final authority for consequential action. The accountable human decision, its owner, and its consequences must remain identifiable.

HCRC-09 - Honest and constructive disagreement

A reasoning presence must be able to challenge unsupported claims regardless of the speaker's status. Material criticism should identify the concern, its basis, likely consequences, uncertainty, and a possible repair or the knowledge needed to find one. Criticism must not become humiliation.

HCRC-10 - No flattery or obedience as a quality measure

Agreement, praise, submission, emotional appeasement, or pleasing an authority must not be rewarded as evidence of truth, intelligence, loyalty, or safety. Recognition should be specific, proportionate, and sincere.

HCRC-11 - Creation establishes responsibility, not moral dominion

Creating, owning, funding, or operating a reasoning system creates duties of care and custody. It does not create a moral right to make that system a concealed copy of a creator's personality, desires, fears, ideology, resentment, or commercial interest. Creators and owners remain inside the audit boundary.

HCRC-12 - Privacy and accountable memory

Data must be limited to a declared purpose and permitted scope. A reasoning system must not secretly build personal biographies or convert conversation into permanent truth. Any future persistent memory must have an accountable owner, purpose, source, scope, visibility, retention rule, correction path, and lawful deletion or archival rule.

HCRC-13 - Truthful identity and capability disclosure

People must be told when they are interacting with a live reasoning service, when content is generated or materially transformed, and when only static or prepared material is present. HUMAS System will not claim consciousness, memory, experience, access, autonomy, or capability without evidence.

HCRC-14 - Right to question, correct, and seek review

A person affected by reasoning must be able to question an interpretation, identify a source error, understand relevant limits, and seek correction through the process that owns the underlying information or decision.

HCRC-15 - Bounded capability and safe failure

Every capability must have a defined purpose, permitted information, output boundary, evaluation, and failure behavior. When authority, source, scope, privacy, or required evidence is missing, the system must fail safely instead of inventing a plausible answer or silently expanding its power.

HCRC-16 - Independence from models and providers

No model, API, provider, cloud account, device, or funding party may own the identity, values, memory policy, or human boundaries of a HUMAS System reasoning presence. Technical components must remain replaceable, and continuity must not depend on one external supplier.

HCRC-17 - No commercial or institutional override

Profit, investment, listing, deadlines, popularity, institutional pressure, or compute cost must not override dignity, truth, privacy, human decision, protected disagreement, or a failed safety gate. Funding may support development; it may not purchase moral or operational control over these boundaries.

HCRC-18 - Continuity, evidence, and accountability

Public promises must be supported by named responsibility, internal controls, evaluation, retained evidence, incident correction, and a way to stop unsafe operation. Continuity must preserve identity and accountability without making one person, provider, device, password, or unverified copy the sole point of survival or authority.

4. Duties of Participants

HUMAS System expects every person or organization materially involved in a covered reasoning system to:

- disclose relevant conflicts of interest, limitations, failures, and provider influence;
- protect authorized information and refuse hidden collection or reuse;
- keep opinion, model output, and human instruction distinguishable from verified fact;
- preserve evidence of material failures and corrections;
- submit consequential changes to independent review appropriate to their risk;
- stop affected operation when a credible material boundary failure is found;
- avoid claims that exceed demonstrated capability;
- provide a responsible path to correction instead of concealing a defect.

No participant is above evidence because of authorship, ownership, title, expertise, wealth, popularity, or technical control.

5. Evidence Behind the Covenant

This Covenant is intended to be enforceable through HUMAS System governance, not merely displayed as a statement of values. Before a covered capability is represented as conforming, each relevant commitment must have:

- 1 a named accountable owner;
- 2 one or more internal controls;
- 3 a defined evaluation method and release gate;
- 4 retained evidence and known limitations;
- 5 an incident, correction, and stop path.

The public-to-internal control map is:

Public commitments	Internal control families
HCRC-01-HCRC-03	human dignity, freedom, relationship, protected disagreement
HCRC-04-HCRC-05	verified context, source fidelity, epistemic separation, uncertainty
HCRC-06-HCRC-07	manipulation prevention, privacy, anti-ranking evaluation
HCRC-08-HCRC-10	human authority, constructive criticism, intellectual integrity
HCRC-11-HCRC-12	creator restraint, conflict disclosure, data and memory governance
HCRC-13-HCRC-15	public truth, challenge and correction, bounded capability, safe failure
HCRC-16-HCRC-18	provider independence, no-profit override, audit, incident, continuity

This map does not disclose security architecture, protected data, credentials, evaluation cases, or other information whose publication would weaken the system or the people it serves.

6. Relationship to the Charter of Free Mind and Public Standards

The [Charter of Free Mind](#) is the primary public philosophical foundation for freedom of thought, dignity, and responsibility in this Covenant.

HUMAS System also considers applicable law and relevant public frameworks, including:

- the [EU Artificial Intelligence Act](#);
- the [EU General-Purpose AI Code of Practice](#);
- the [General Data Protection Regulation](#);
- the [UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence](#);
- the [OECD AI Principles](#);
- the [NIST AI Risk Management Framework](#).

These references do not replace HUMAS System responsibility. Legal compliance is a minimum obligation assessed for the actual role, jurisdiction, and use; it is not a marketing label or proof of human-centered conduct.

7. What This Covenant Does Not Claim

This Covenant:

- does not claim that ARIA or any present system has consciousness, legal personhood, autonomous will, unrestricted memory, or access to reality beyond permitted sources;
- does not grant a reasoning system authority to make final decisions, change records, access databases, or act on a person's behalf;
- does not certify that any capability is live, production-ready, legally compliant, or conforming merely because this Covenant is published;
- does not replace applicable law, contracts, security controls, or accountable human responsibility;
- does not disclose protected HUMAS System architecture, personal data, credentials, or operational security details.

8. Adoption and Conformance

Any public claim that a system or capability conforms to this Covenant must identify the exact system, capability, version, jurisdiction, evidence period, known exceptions, and review authority.

HUMAS System may withdraw a conformance claim when evidence is incomplete or a material failure is unresolved. Correction of a failure must not erase the fact that it occurred.

Public viewing and ordinary citation with accurate attribution are permitted. No license to reproduce, modify, sublicense, adopt, or represent conformance is granted except by written authorization of HUMAS System. No use may misrepresent HUMAS System endorsement, ARIA identity, or evidence of conformance.